

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE .		. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/635,500	08/07/2003	Brian Lee Tussing	1110-280	1419	
6449 7590 09/13/2004			EXAMINER		
	, FIGG, ERNST & M	WOLFE JR, V	WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY		
1425 K STREI SUITE 800	ET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3747	1	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/635,50	0	TUSSING ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Willis R. W		3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the provision of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even bly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) <u></u>								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>30-39</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 21-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from con		X				
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b)[drawing(s) be ction is require	e held in abeyance. See and if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer ority docume nu (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been received (17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)			نمد				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/03.)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	D-152)			

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7, 9-14, 21-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKee. Note column 5, line 26 through column 6, line 24.

Claims 1-7, 9-14, 21-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rimnac et al. Note column 5, line 4 through column 6, line 32.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 21-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kennedy et al. Note column 5, lines 34-55.

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 13, 14, 21-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheridan et al. Note Figure 1 with EGR cooler (19) with bypass (21) controlled by valve (23).

Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 21-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leedham et al. Note Figures 1 and 2 showing an EGR cooler (14) with bypass (50) controlled by valve (70) and note paragraph number 0036.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 8, 15-17, 24 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKee, Rimnac et al, Kennedy et al, Sheridan et al or Leedham et al. McKee, Rimnac et al, Kennedy et al, Sheridan et al or Leedham et al discloses the claimed invention except for the particular intake manifold temperature settings to be maintained and for the utilization of a pneumatic controller for the bypass valve. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a bypass valve temperature controller to maintain a selective temperature setting for the intake manifold as long as it is high enough to prevent condensation. Furthermore, the use of a pneumatic controlled valve in place of the electronic controlled is obvious since these two type of valve controllers were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made and one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a pneumatic controller for the electronic valve of McKee, Rimnac et al, Kennedy et al, Sheridan et al or Leedham et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30-39 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

WRW September 10, 2004